

**PUBLIC TRANSPORT — METRO AREA EXPRESS LIGHT RAIL**

*Question without Notice 103 — Correction of Answer*

**HON JIM CHOWN (Agricultural — Parliamentary Secretary)** [5.06 pm]: On the same day, Hon Ken Travers asked question without notice 103, of which some notice had been given. Unfortunately, due to an administrative error, an incorrect answer was provided by me. Before I table the correction, with your indulgence, Mr President, I would briefly like to explain why this error occurred.

**The PRESIDENT:** You can do that under standing order 108.

**Hon JIM CHOWN:** When a member provides notice of a question, it is entered into the parliamentary questions database with a number. That particular number stays assigned to the question until the minister or parliamentary secretary provides it to the house. From that point on, it is assigned a new number by *Hansard*. The number *Hansard* assigns is based on the order in which the questions are asked and, as such, is usually slightly different at times from the number provided to the ministry, departments and agencies. In this case, Hon Ken Travers was referring to question without notice 52, as recorded by *Hansard*, which was in fact in relation to MAX light rail. Unfortunately, a previous question from the member on the Perth–Darwin highway was provided the same number—52—as an internal reference. I trust that the correction I am tabling will answer the member’s question. I seek leave to have the correct answer incorporated into *Hansard*.

Leave granted. [See paper 306.]

The following material was incorporated —

---

**I thank the Hon. Member for some notice of this question.**

(1)–(2) No issues have been identified that will prevent the transformational MAX light rail project from moving ahead.

---